

**Nguyen, Leon-Viet Q.**

---

**From:** Peng, John on behalf of 101 Help-TC2600  
**Sent:** Monday, October 16, 2006 2:14 PM  
**To:** Nguyen, Leon-Viet Q.; 101 Help-TC2600  
**Subject:** RE: case #10500549 and #10506278

For 10/500549

Page 9, lines 21-28 clearly stated the difference between the method and the process program product. Thus, it is my opinion that the method claims 11 and 13 are OK. However, the claimed process program product in claim 12 which comprises the functions of the method step should be rejected under 101 as being claiming a program per se. See page 53 of the interim guideline.

For 10/506278

This case is similiar to the case above. Thus, same opinion.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nguyen, Leon-Viet Q.  
**Sent:** Monday, October 16, 2006 1:21 PM  
**To:** 101 Help-TC2600  
**Subject:** case #10500549 and #10506278

Hello I'm reviewing cases #10500549 and #10506278. I am wondering claims 11-13 are rejectable under USC 101. My trainer told me that they look like 101's but a primary said they are not. Thanks

Leon-Viet Nguyen  
Patent Training Academy  
Ext. 01185